## REMARKS

In regard to the Examiner's rejection of claims 1 and 4-11 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 8-15 of U.S. Patent 6,260,317 B1 to Fisher in view of U.S. Patent 5,160,566A to Ashby et al., Applicant submits that his invention, as now claimed, is novel and non-obvious in light of the prior art. Specifically, claim 1 now includes the language that the baffle is not only disposed but also attached along the entire seam of the block. Applicant respectfully requests that the proposed amendment of claim 1 be entered and considered as it merely conforms the claim to the issues discussed in the interview of November 13, 203, and also to the arguments presented in the response filed on December 12, 2003, which are reasserted herein. The current amendment of claim 1, consisting of adding the single word "attached" does not introduce new issues rather it merely brings the claim into conformity with the issues previously presented and discussed and resolved with the Examiner. The omission of the single word "attached" was merely the a result of a scriveners error in Applicant's response of 12/12/03, which response Applicant filed in an attempt to place the application into condition for allowance as previously discussed in the interview of 11/13/03 and approved. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the amendment be entered and considered.

In view of the foregoing remarks and amendments, it is respectfully submitted that this application is now in condition for allowance, therefore an early notice to this effect is courteously solicited

Respectfully submitted,

Peter Loffler
Registration no. 35,751
P.O. Box 1001
Niceville, Florida 32588-1001
(850) 729-1520

6

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing was faxed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Art Unit 3635, fax number (703) 872-9327, this 9th day of April, 2004.

Peter Loffler

7